

CRISIS RESPONSE

VOL:12 | ISSUE:2 | DECEMBER 2016

WWW.CRISIS-RESPONSE.COM

JOURNAL

PROTECTION | PREVENTION | PREPAREDNESS | RESPONSE | RESILIENCE | RECOVERY



CONFLICT & WAR

RESCUE, HUMANITARIAN, MEDICAL

PLUS: Earthquakes in Italy; Tehran Fire Department; TalkTalk cyber attack; Business resilience & continuity; Social media in emergencies; The growing use of child suicide bombers; Disaster diplomacy; Attacks on healthcare; Drones & capacity building; Safe surgery in crisis zones; Canada wildfire

CRISIS RESPONSE JOURNAL

IN PRINT | DIGITAL | ONLINE

The *Crisis Response Journal* is available by subscription only

Subscribers receive our quarterly hard copy, along with iPad or Android tablet version. A full archive of all past and current articles is also available online to all subscribers.

Choose the right subscription plan for you, from Individual Full, Digital Only, Institutional & Corporate or Student

Visit our website for around-the-clock news, blogs and events updates

www.crisis-response.com

NEVER MISS AN ISSUE!

Keep up with the latest developments, news and thought leadership in crisis, emergency and disaster planning and response.

Support our international community and subscribe to CRJ



SUBSCRIBE NOW!

Editor in Chief
Emily Hough
emily@crisis-response.com

Editor
Ian Portelli, BCDM, PhD
ian@crisis-response.com

Urban Resilience Editor
Andy Marshall
andy@crisis-response.com

Design and Production
Tim Baggaley
www.graphicviolence.co.uk

Subscriptions and Administration
Emma Wayt
emma.wayt@crisis-response.com

Director
Colin Simpson
colin.simpson@crisis-response.com

Subscriptions
Crisis Response Journal is published quarterly; it is available by subscription in hard copy, digital and online
Tel: +44 (0) 208 1661690; subs@crisis-response.com

Back issues
Existing subscribers: £25 (US\$45; €36) per hard copy issue (free with online access);
Non subscribers: £40 (US\$72; €58) per issue
Tel: +44 (0) 208 1661690;
backissues@crisis-response.com

Published by Crisis Response Journal Ltd
PO Box 6269, Thatcham, RG19 9JX, UK
Tel: +44 (0) 208 1661690; mail@crisis-response.com
www.crisis-response.com
COPYRIGHT Crisis Response Journal Ltd 2015
Articles published in may not be reproduced in any form without prior written permission
Printed in England by Gemini Press
ISSN 1745-8633

www.crisis-response.com
join the CRJ LinkedIn group
 follow us on twitter @editorialcrj

contents

News	4		32
Comment	8		

Features

How public and private resources worked together in Canada's most costly environmental disaster	12	Nick Hawkins discusses how investing in communications technology can improve emergency response	32
A swarm of destructive earthquakes has shaken regions of Italy, causing widespread damage and hundreds of fatalities. CRJ looks at response efforts	14	Ludovic Blay and Patrick Lagadec describe a volunteer network helping emergency authorities to embrace social media	34
Reita Waara describes a large-scale exercise designed to include volunteers in Finland	18	Nicolas Vanderbiest says authorities need to build trusted online relationships that will help to counter the spread of false information in a crisis	36
Olivia Soave and Martin de Maupeou describe a European project that has been developing decision support tools to help crisis managers address cascading effects in a crisis	20	Olivia Soave and Martin de Maupeou describe a European project that has been developing decision support tools to help crisis managers address cascading effects in a crisis	38

Navid Bayat examines the growth and development of	20	Ali Naraghi introduces this feature on violence against healthcare with an overview of the situation	52
Brian Dillon considers measures that organisations can take to mitigate the impact and respond effectively	22	Casey Brunelle outlines the urgent need for practical solutions to improve the safety and security of	66
Tony Jaques describes how a company at the centre of a food recall crisis in Australia found itself fatally entangled in a quagmire of public panic and political opportunism	25	Meeting life-saving health needs in humanitarian settings is increasingly challenging. And in the current global context, the needs are unprecedented, says Erin Kenney	68
Jessica Lennard presents lessons learned from the cyber attack on TalkTalk, saying it should be mandatory for all businesses to report serious cyber breaches to the regulator and customers	28	Disasters and crisis response are low on the list when seeking lasting reconciliation, says Ilan Kelman	70

Italy Civil Protection Directorate	14	Syria Civil Defence	66
Tehran Fire Department	34	El Salvador Red Cross	76

Quake relief and response p14		Civil response in conflict p58	
Fire and rescue in Tehran p20		Attacks on medical transport p70	

Technology & equipment	73	Technology & equipment	73
Attacks on healthcare	80	In Depth	88
Regulars	94	Regulars	94

Global Terrorism Index	98	Global Terrorism Index	98
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comments	99	Comments	99
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comments	99	Comments	99
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comments	99	Comments	99
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comments	99	Comments	99
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comments	99	Comments	99
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comments	99	Comments	99
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comments	99	Comments	99
Comments	99	Comments	99

RHURUPDQLDULDQPHEFDODQFELLRSHUDERQLQDUDQEROFY

Cover illustration: Daniel Gomer

Technology & equipment	73	Technology & equipment	73
Attacks on healthcare	80	Attacks on healthcare	80
Regulars	94	Regulars	94

Global Terrorism Index	98	Global Terrorism Index	98
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comments	99	Comments	99
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comments	99	Comments	99
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comments	99	Comments	99
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comments	99	Comments	99
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comments	99	Comments	99
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comments	99	Comments	99
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comments	99	Comments	99
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comments	99	Comments	99
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comments	99	Comments	99
Comments	99	Comments	99

Comment

The global picture has darkened

Technology & equipment	73	Technology & equipment	73
Attacks on healthcare	80	Attacks on healthcare	80
Regulars	94	Regulars	94
Global Terrorism Index	98	Global Terrorism Index	98
Comments	99	Comments	99



Managing cascading effects

Olivia Soave and Martin de Maupeou describe a European project that has, for the last three years, been developing decision support tools to help crisis managers address cascading effects in a crisis

In a world of increasing interdependence, everything works in relation to something else. In such a context, awareness of dependencies that may affect our daily activity should something go wrong, is key to preventing and mitigating the chain of interactions that can amplify the negative effects of an incident. The 2011 Fukushima Daiichi nuclear disaster and the 2010 Eyjafjallajökull volcanic eruption in Iceland are among recent events that have contributed towards placing cascading effects on the agenda of the crisis management and research communities.

The Preparing for the Domino effect in Crisis Situations (Predict) project was launched in April 2014 to raise awareness on the phenomenon of cascading effects and to explore innovative solutions to address it. Since then, the members of the consortium have

been working to improve understanding of the nature of cascading effects and to put forward new solutions to assess, prevent and manage their impact on the functioning of critical infrastructures.

A suite of decision support, foresight, prediction, and communication tools are under development. By mid-2017, the project will be able to deliver an innovative software solution, comprehensively combining this set of methodologies and tools, to support decision-making in crisis situations involving cascading effects.

Representing six European countries,

the Predict consortium is led by The French Alternative Energies and Atomic Energy Commission (CEA), and brings together research and technology organisations, small and medium sized enterprises, major industrial market players and crisis management organisations as end users in the project.

While international glossaries such as the UNISDR Terminology propose no specific definition of cascading effects, they can be defined as: "Failure(s) occurring when a disruption in one infrastructure causes the failure of a component in a second infrastructure, which subsequently causes a disruption in the second infrastructure" (Rinaldi et al, 2001). The impact of cascading effects on critical infrastructure is defined by the European Council Directive 2008/114/EC as: "An asset, system or part thereof located in member states which is essential for the maintenance of vital societal functions, health, safety, security, economic or social wellbeing of people, and the disruption or destruction of which would have a significant impact in a member state as a result of the failure to maintain those functions."

Extensive interactions with crisis management practitioners throughout the project have shown that in Europe some countries have been working on the issue of cascading effects and trying to develop processes and solutions for several years. Others are just starting this work and still need to acquire the relevant knowledge, training and tools for the management of cascading effects and to include them in their practice and plans. Responding to the cascading effect challenge, they need to have a common picture of a crisis affecting multiple sectors, allocate resources adequately, and eventually be able to manage the unexpected.

To meet these needs, the Predict partners are developing the integrated Predict tool suite, called the iPDT, a software solution that combines different decision support and information management tools with complementary functionalities:

- Foresight and prediction tools that model and simulate cascading effects to allow users to train for possible crisis scenarios, foresee possible domino effects and predict potential consequences;

- Decision support tools that assess the consequences of all potential actions on different sectors (vital needs, infrastructure, livelihood etc) to allow risk-based decision-making process by users; and

- Information exchange tools which rely on the dynamic process integration framework (DPIF) to support the exchange of information between the public and private sectors during a crisis.

The essential added value of the Predict solution is to put together these tools to provide crisis management practitioners with services focusing specifically on the management of cascading effects.

To orient the research and development work, Predict has developed as an end user centric project. By sharing where they stand on the issue of cascading effects and their operational needs in this regard, end users contribute to the definition of the project's

requirements and validate its results. This continuous interaction is made through involving key end users in the consortium as partners and through regular workshops and exercises. These events aim to present the research undertaken in the project, so as to gather feedback from participating experts on the developed methodologies and tools in order to improve them.

The project's target end users are experts in charge of situational awareness and impact assessments, and decision-makers sitting in crisis rooms. These are drawn from the management staff of national and regional crisis management organisations, fire and rescue services, critical infrastructure operators, civil protection agencies and NGOs, such as the Red Cross. So far more than 80 people from over 40 different organisations from 20 European countries, as well as from European institutions and international organisations, have taken part in Predict events.

The development of the iPDT software will run until January 2017 and the major challenges for the consortium are to achieve both the technical integration of the tools composing the iPDT, and to ensure that this work will lead to a solution that is eventually applicable and useful to end users. Efforts are also ongoing to make the iPDT interoperable with existing systems used by national crisis management authorities, for example the Dutch crisis management system, LCMS.

Planned activities

In June 2016, live demonstrations were organised at a workshop in Paris and end users had the opportunity to play with some of the tools during hands-on sessions.

In parallel with the technical development activities, a series of three tabletop and full-scale exercises tested the latest versions of the Predict tools in the context of three realistic cascading effects crisis scenarios. These were: Flooding in the Netherlands; derailment of a freight train transporting chemicals at the Belgian-German border; and a maritime accident close to Helsinki Harbour that engendered a pollution crisis.

An important aspect of the project is its Europe-wide dimension, with one of its greatest added values being the exchange of best practice between European crisis management experts and researchers.

As crises have increasing crossborder effects, transborder coordination and training are key and will lead to better management of cascading effects. To this end, several activities have been carried out to explore synergies with related European research projects, to share results and maintain effective collaboration between different stakeholders. These efforts also aim to avoid redundancies, improve the quality of expected results and boost the overall impact of these projects. Representatives from related European projects have, therefore, participated in Predict activities, and members of the consortium attended several events, in particular the Domino II conference organised by the Dutch First Responder platform of IFV in September 2016 in the Netherlands.

For the Predict partners, the final milestones will take place in the first quarter of 2017 with a new workshop where end users will test the final version of the solution, and the final conference where the overall findings will be presented.

This conference will be the opportunity to engage with an enlarged audience of representatives from the crisis management, policy and research communities and will contribute to the wide visibility and impact of the project's results.

Authors

Martin de Maupeou and Olivia Soave are senior consultants at the *Compagnie Européenne d'Intelligence Stratégique (CEIS) European Office*. CEIS is a European research and strategic consulting firm, specialising in security, defence and crisis management issues, and a Predict project partner. Within Predict, CEIS is in charge of managing the community of end-users, as well as the dissemination of the project's results. The three-year research project is co-funded by the European Commission within the framework of the European FP7 programme (Horizon 2020) www.ceis.eu www.predict-project.eu



A common picture of a crisis affecting multiple areas is needed to manage the unexpected

